College-wide Planning Events Evaluation Results

Spring 2015 – Spring 2016

SAN DIEGO MIRAMAR COLLEGE

OFFICE OF PLANNING, RESEARCH, AND INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS

College-wide Planning Summit Evaluation (Spring 2016)

A total of 43 participants filled out the evaluation

- Organization
- Logistics
- Effectiveness
- Overall Satisfaction
- Open-ended Questions



Employment Type (n=34)

Organization



Organization-Q1. The Summit/Convocation was well planned.
Organization-Q2. Meeting materials were well selected.
Organization-Q3. Meeting materials were well organized to facilitate delivery.
Organization-Q4. Discussions/activities were well structured.

Organization



Organization-Q5. Discussions/activities were well facilitated.
Organization-Q6. Discussions/activities were engaging.
Organization-Q7. The Summit/Convocation was of an appropriate length.
Organization-Q8. The Summit/Convocation was well-paced.

Logistics



Logistics-Q1. The location of the Summit/Convocation was convenient.

Logistics-Q2. The meeting room was conducive to an effective summit/convocation.

Logistics-Q3. The equipment contributed to the overall effectiveness of the Summit/Convocation.

Logistics-Q4. The Summit stations selected for campus tours adequately facilitated action planning.

Effectiveness



Effectiveness-Q1. The Summit/Convocation was effective in advancing the College's planning activities.

Effectiveness-Q2. I have gained new insight relevant to the College's integrated planning efforts.

Effectiveness-Q3. I have gained new knowledge connecting the College's integrated planning efforts to student completion.

Effectiveness-Q4. I feel that I have been included in the College's planning process.

Overall Satisfaction



Overall Satisfaction-Q1. Overall, I am satisfied with the Summit/Convocation.

What was the best part of the 2016 Planning Summit and why?

- Doing site visits
- Learning about resources offered to students
- Engaging discussions at summit stations
- Discussions involving members of different campus constituencies
- Activity planning

What do you think needs to be improved most about the 2016 Planning Summit and why?

- Great Summit! Nothing to improve. The summit is constantly improving and increasingly informative
- Provide more extensive preliminary information/data ahead of time
- More time to visit more stations
- Need to show the campus how the results collected are going to be used to develop action plans