

Instructional Program Review and SLOAC Subcommittee

Co-Chairs: Paulette Hopkins and Julia McMenamin Monday, May 15, 2017, 3:00-4:30 p.m., Room N-206

APPROVED 05/15/17

AGENDA

<u>Voting Members</u>: Paulette Hopkins (Co-Chair, Instructional Admin), Fred Garces (Instructional Admin), Dan Willkie (Faculty/BTCWI), Patricia Manley (Faculty/LA), John Salinsky (Faculty/PS), Alex Sanchez (Faculty-at-Large, MBEPS), Laura Murphy (Faculty-at-Large/MBEPS); Julia McMenamin (Co-Chair and Faculty-at-Large/MBEPS)

<u>Resource</u>: Xi Zhang (Research and Planning Analyst); Katinea Todd (Administrative Secretary to the Vice President of Instruction)

*Strategic Goals; **Accreditation Standards

I. Call to Order

IV

II. Approval of Agenda

III. Approval of Minutes

•	Bus	siness	
	Α.	Extend meeting time to 2 hours	1-3; II.A
	Β.	SLO Liaison/Department Chair Duties	1-3; II.A
	C.	Identify department priorities for types of research data to be included in full Program Review for the 2018-2021 Cycle (Murphy)	1-3; II.A
	D.	Discuss SLO Day during FLEX Week Fall 2017 and Committee Participation (Murphy)	1-3; I-II
	Ε.	District SLO Obligation Wish List	1-3; I-II
	F.	Review and discuss ISLO survey results (Murphy/Zhang)	1-3; I-II
	G.	 Disaggregation Review and discuss disaggregation Pilot Results (Murphy/Zhang) Discuss and create plans for disaggregation Post-Pilot (Committee) Discuss and create plans for disaggregation by instructional tutorial delivery methods – distance education vs. on-campus (Committee) 	1-2; I-II.A 1-2; I-II.A 1-2; I-II.A
	Н.	Discuss and make changes to TaskStream for 2018-2021 Cycle (Murphy)	1-3; II
	I.	Open Office Hours from 4:00-4:30 for Faculty/Deans	1-3; II

V. Adjournment

Upcoming Dates for Faculty and Committee:

- August 15, 2017 Reminders about entering BRDS requests into TaskStream
- September 1, 2017 Murphy will run Excel reports for BRDS using TaskStream.
- September 15, 2017 Recommended Due Date for Deans for School Program Review.
- October 1, 2017 Quotes for BRDS are due.
- April 2018 Faculty/Chairs enter Program Review into TaskStream.

*San Diego Miramar College Fall 2013 – Spring 2019 Strategic Goals:		
1. Provide educational programs and services that are responsive to change and support student learning and success.		
2. Deliver educational programs and services in formats and at locations that meet student needs.		
3. Enhance the college experience for students and the community by providing student-centered programs, services, and		
activities that celebrate diversity and sustainable practices.		
4. Develop, strengthen, and sustain beneficial partnerships with educational institutions, business and industry, and our		
community.		
**ACCJC Accreditation Standards (Adopted June 2014)		
I. Mission, Academic Quality and Instructional Effectiveness, and Integrity		
I.A Mission		
I.B Assuring Academic Quality and Institutional Effectiveness		
I.C Institutional Integrity		
II. Student Learning Programs and Support Services		
II.A Instructional Programs		
II.B Library and Learning Support Services		
II.C Student Support Services		
III. Resources		
III.A Human Resources		
III.B Physical Resources		
III.C Technology Resources		
III.D Financial Resources		
IV. Leadership and Governance		
IV.A Decision-Making Roles and Processes		
IV.B Chief Executive Officer		
IV.C Governing Board		
IV.D Multi-College Districts or Systems.		

Accreditation Team Preliminary Recommendations:

<u>Recommendation #1</u>: The College needs to engage administrative, instructional, and student services Divisions in program review to address how well program missions align with the college mission.

<u>Recommendation #2</u>: The College needs to analyze learning outcomes assessment results by the meaningful disaggregation of data by sub-populations of students, instructional tutorial delivery methods.

<u>Recommendation #3</u>: The College needs to develop a procedure for evaluating its program review process for student services, administrative services, and instructional services to ensure their effectiveness for supporting academic quality. <u>Recommendation #4</u>: The College needs to identify and regularly assess learning outcomes for all courses.

SER Action Projects and Action Plans:

- A. <u>QFE I: Action Plan 1</u>. Evaluate efficiency of structures to manage college-wide learning outcomes and assessment work and coordination of efforts (connects to Recommendation #1 above).
- B. <u>QFE I: Action Plan 2</u>. Provide more robust support to faculty and staff through the PR/SLOAC Committees and additional workshops for improved development, implementation, analysis, and use of SLO assessment (aligns with Recommendation #4 above).
- C. <u>QFE I: Action Plan 4</u>. Investigate potential strategies for additional levels of SLO disaggregation to identify subgroups in need of improvement (aligns with Recommendation #2 above).
- D. <u>QFE I: Action Plan 5</u>. Improve communication strategy to efficiently share SLO assessment best practices, gaps identified through the assessment process, and successful strategies implemented to improve student learning (aligns with Recommendation #4 above).
- E. <u>QFE I: Action Plan 6</u>. Develop the ISLO assessment process to include direct and indirect measures of learning and identify foci for improvement (aligns with IVB above).
- F. <u>QFE I: Action Plan 7</u>. Collaborate with the District to optimize the process for extracting learning outcomes statements and information from TaskStream and for the regular upload of SLO statements into CurricUNET.

- G. <u>QFE II: Action Plan 5</u>. Evaluate efficiency and consistency of the BRDS annual resource allocation process and identify strategies for improvement.
- H. <u>QFE II: Action Plan 8</u>. Develop the College's research capabilities to provide program and service area-specific data disaggregated by relevant subpopulations.