

Instructional Program Review and SLOAC Subcommittee

Co-Chairs: Paulette Hopkins and Julia McMenamin Monday, February 5, 2017, 3:00-4:30 p.m., Room N206

AGENDA

<u>Voting Members</u>: Paulette Hopkins (Co-Chair, Instructional Admin), Lou Ascione (Instructional Admin), Dan Willkie (Faculty/BTCWI), Patricia Manley (Faculty/LA), John Salinsky (Faculty/PS), Alex Sanchez (Faculty-at-Large, MBEPS), Olga Fryszman (Faculty-at-Large/MBEPS); Julia McMenamin (Co-Chair and Faculty-at-Large/MBEPS)

<u>Resource</u>: Xi Zhang (Research and Planning Analyst); Eli Jed Manalastas (Administrative Technician to the Vice President of Instruction); Naomi Grisham (Outcomes and Assessment Coordinator)

*Strategic Goals; **Accreditation Standards

II. Approval of Agenda

III. Approval of Minutes

IV. New and Ongoing Business

A.	Execute Strategies for Completion of Course and Program SLO Assessment Cycles (Committee)	1-3; II
В.	Clerical Access and Support for Taskstream (Committee)	1-3; II
C.	Taskstream for 2018-2021 Cycle: Deadlines for Each Semester (Committee)	1-3; II
D.	Dean's Checklist for Program Review/SLOs (Grisham)	1-3; II
E.	Discuss ISLO Survey Results/Discuss ISLO Survey Results with other Committees (Committee)	1-3; II
F.	Discussion on ACCJC Recommendation #3: Evaluating Program Review Process (http://www.sdmiramar.edu/institution/plan/review)	1-3; II
G.	Update from Academic Affairs and Next Steps: Disaggregation Pilot Results (McMenamin)	1-2; I-II.A
Н.	Create new ISLO Survey for Spring 2019 (Committee)	1-3; I-II
I.	Train Committee on all Taskstream Workspaces (Committee)	1-2; I-II.A

V. Adjournment

Upcoming Dates for Faculty and Committee:

- February 5, 2018 Deadline to complete all Course and Program SLO Assessment Cycles (2015-2018)
- March 23, 2018 Final Course SLO Action Plan Summaries provided for each department's Program Review in Taskstream
- April 20, 2018 Due date for completed Program Review, including completed 2015-2018 Course and Program SLO Assessment

Upcoming IPR/SLOA Committees:

- Jan. 22, 11:00-12:30, N-206
- Feb. 5, 3:00-4:30, N-206
- Mar. 5, 3:00-4:30, N-206

- Mar. 19, 3:00-4:30, N-206
- Apr. 2, 3:00-4:30, N-206
- Apr. 16, 3:00-4:30, N-206
- Apr. 30, 3:00-4:30, N-206
- May 7, 3:00-4:30, N-206
- May 21, 3:00-4:30, N-206

*San Diego Miramar College Fall 2013 - Spring 2019 Strategic Goals:

- 1. Provide educational programs and services that are responsive to change and support student learning and success.
- 2. Deliver educational programs and services in formats and at locations that meet student needs.
- 3. Enhance the college experience for students and the community by providing student-centered programs, services, and activities that celebrate diversity and sustainable practices.
- 4. Develop, strengthen, and sustain beneficial partnerships with educational institutions, business and industry, and our community.

**ACCJC Accreditation Standards (Adopted June 2014)

- I. Mission, Academic Quality and Instructional Effectiveness, and Integrity
 - I.A Mission
 - I.B Assuring Academic Quality and Institutional Effectiveness
 - I.C Institutional Integrity

II. Student Learning Programs and Support Services

- **II.A Instructional Programs**
- **II.B Library and Learning Support Services**
- **II.C Student Support Services**

III. Resources

- III.A Human Resources
- III.B Physical Resources
- **III.C Technology Resources**
- **III.D** Financial Resources

IV. Leadership and Governance

- IV.A Decision-Making Roles and Processes
- IV.B Chief Executive Officer
- IV.C Governing Board
- IV.D Multi-College Districts or Systems.

Accreditation Team Preliminary Recommendations:

<u>Recommendation #1</u>: The College needs to engage administrative, instructional, and student services Divisions in program review to address how well program missions align with the college mission.

<u>Recommendation #2</u>: The College needs to analyze learning outcomes assessment results by the meaningful disaggregation of data by sub-populations of students, instructional tutorial delivery methods.

<u>Recommendation #3</u>: The College needs to develop a procedure for evaluating its program review process for student services, administrative services, and instructional services to ensure their effectiveness for supporting academic quality. <u>Recommendation #4</u>: The College needs to identify and regularly assess learning outcomes for all courses.

SER Action Projects and Action Plans:

- A. <u>QFE I: Action Plan 1</u>. Evaluate efficiency of structures to manage college-wide learning outcomes and assessment work and coordination of efforts (connects to Recommendation #1 above).
- B. <u>QFE I: Action Plan 2.</u> Provide more robust support to faculty and staff through the PR/SLOAC Committees and additional workshops for improved development, implementation, analysis, and use of SLO assessment (aligns with Recommendation #4 above).

- C. <u>QFE I: Action Plan 4.</u> Investigate potential strategies for additional levels of SLO disaggregation to identify subgroups in need of improvement (aligns with Recommendation #2 above).
- D. <u>QFE I: Action Plan 5.</u> Improve communication strategy to efficiently share SLO assessment best practices, gaps identified through the assessment process, and successful strategies implemented to improve student learning (aligns with Recommendation #4 above).
- E. <u>QFE I: Action Plan 6.</u> Develop the ISLO assessment process to include direct and indirect measures of learning and identify foci for improvement (aligns with IVB above).
- F. <u>QFE I: Action Plan 7</u>. Collaborate with the District to optimize the process for extracting learning outcomes statements and information from TaskStream and for the regular upload of SLO statements into CurricUNET.
- G. <u>QFE II: Action Plan 5</u>. Evaluate efficiency and consistency of the BRDS annual resource allocation process and identify strategies for improvement.
- H. QFE II: Action Plan 8. Develop the College's research capabilities to provide program and service area-specific data disaggregated by relevant subpopulations.