Submit your proxy form, here.

College Council Meeting Minutes
San Diego Miramar College

10/20/26 ● K1-202/Zoom ● 1:00 pm – 2:30 pm

Co-Chair: Wesley Lundburg, College President (non-voting)
Co-Chair: Rodrigo Gomez, Constituency member from faculty, classified professionals, or students.
Committee Members:

Administrators (3)	***Classified Professionals (3)***	***Faculty (3)***	***Students (3)***
Vice President of Instructional Services: ***Michael Odu***	*Classified Senate President:* ***Diana Solares***	*Academic Senate President:* ***Rodrigo Gomez***	*ASG President:* ***Michael Fuzy***
Vice President of Student Services: ***Adrian Gonzales***	*Classified Senate Vice President:* ***Vacant***	*Academic Senate Vice President or President-Elect:* ***Olivia Flores***	*Designee:* ***Vacant***
Vice President of Administrative Services: ***Maria Battisti***	*Classified Senate, Senator At-Large:* ***Adam Vincej***	*Chair of Chairs:* ***Mary Kjartanson***	*Designee:* ***Vacant***

Quorum: 50% +1 of each constituency group's members (i.e., 2 per constituency group)
Guests: Daniel Miramontez(absent), Nessa Julian, Cheryl Barnard, Daniela Perez Padilla, Jennifer Pena, Dan Roberts, Laura Pecenco, Jenish Jayswal
2024-2025 Theme: *Cultivating Community: Making the invisible, visible.*

A. **Call to Order –** Meeting called to order at 1:02pm.
B. **Permission to Record Meeting**
C. **Land Acknowledgement -** We recognize that San Diego Miramar College sits on the ancestral homeland of the Kumeyaay, Luiseño, Cupeño, and Cahuilla tribes, who have lived in this area for well over 10,000 years, and we honor their past, present, and future connection to this land and its inherent connection to their identity.
D. **Approval of Agenda -** Motioned for approval of the 10/20/26 agenda by **Kjartanson**. Second by **Odu.** The motion carried.
E. **Approval of Minutes** 9/22/26 (ready) and 10/6/26(ready). Motioned for approval of the 9/22 minutes by **Kjartanson**. Second by **Fuzy**. No discussion. There were 10 yay votes, 0 nay votes and 1 abstention. Motioned for the approval of the 10/6/ minutes by **Fuzy**. Second by **Kjartanson**. No discussion. There were 10 yay votes, 0 nay votes and 1 abstention. The motion carried.
F. **Public Comment** - There were no public comments.
G. **Committee Reports/Other *(2-3 minutes)***
   - **President's Report – Lundburg** addressed the freedom of speech and regardless of agenda and view and propaganda, we stand behind freedom of speech as they follow the rules. If there is one organization that is propagandizing hate, then that would be addressed by the Board. This is a moment to embraces tolerable free speech and that all groups have the right. Happy to engage in conversations with this if needed. If there is a group that you believe has overstepped their boundaries, report to Barnard and Gonzales. **Gomez** noting the concern that hate speech not being defined by the Board is a problem, adding that TPUSA is often a proprietor of it. Discussion revolved around the ICE activity that occurred at City College. **Wes** noted it is important to acknowledge and affirm how students are feeling. We need to help

people understand it is a safe place; however, people can speak their point of view. **Flores** what is she supposed to say to students to ensure their safety and appease someone from the emotional side. As a college we are doing the same things that the other sister colleges are doing and adhering to Board policies. **Kjartanson** this is an open campus; people are entitled to their own opinions. It is difficult to ensure their safety. Julian had a good conversation with other colleagues about the code of conduct, ICE procedures and the systems of support we have in place to support our students, while also acknowledging that we do have gaps that need to be addressed. Confidently we can figure out a way to support each other in a protective way. **Julian** will put together resources in a collective piece with the President's office. **Gonzales** added that the goal is to educate. Resources, procedures, policies, we all need to know what to do. We have locally provided workshops at the college, the district, and at the state level. We need to do everything we can to be prepared. Also acknowledging there are gaps that we need to address. for our scared students we have legal resources that are available. We should recognize that our campus students have been impacted. As of now, we have not received any reports of negative behavior or violation of policy. **Lundburg,** if you hear of any violation, submit a report, with any available evidence. These are needed to be able to take action. **Pena** showing these conversations publicly and providing forums are what people need to feel supported. **Gonzales** shared at a recent Student Services Leaders meeting; he shared it is the expectation for them to provide that information to their teams and train them. Need to continue holding department training but also have the responsibility to share with teams. This helps provide our teams reinforcement. **Julian** pointed out that having a localized place where we can talk about these trainings and share campus information would be beneficial. Has started to put all the resources in a SharePoint; this is something we could share out with the campus. **Lundburg** added this a good reminder; these items have a way of bubbling up quickly, but we can take a better approach to share information with campus. Can start with what Julian has created and add the administrative portion to it. Can also include an additional forum, but we have a challenge with participation in campus wide. **Roberts** using some of the messaging platforms that we have available to reach out to other areas of the campus and students. Lundburg, Gonzales and Odu can do videos to share what we are going and share resources.

- **AS Report – Gomez s**hared the Academic Senate will meet later today, some pending second read AP4325, resolution to foster positive campus climent, student success framework, AI resolution.
- **CS Report – Solares,** Classified Senate met today, had second read of SS framework. Will be hosting Halloween event contest. This is in effort to build community and engagement between CS, administrators and campus community. Met with Chacnellor Smith for President search, shared survey results, 37% of staff participated.
- **ASG Report, Fusy** shared ASG just returned from CCSAA conference, going to Mesa College for joint training. Regarding, TPUSA has attended meetings to ensure the process goes smoothly, expressed interest in some resources and support that may be available from the campus. Lundburg and Gonzales pointed out that all the administration is there to support and have an open-door policy whenever needed.
- **Other -** None

H. **Old Business:**

#	Item	Initiator
1	*Equity, Justice, Inclusion, and our role in moving things forward (standing item) – Any applicable updates from the **LEAD Office**, debrief from recent events, etc.* **Julian** shared there are some recent changes in SEAP reporting via VAR, working on deadlines for the end of year. Just submitted 2026 AANHPI plan. Many events happening on campus. SEDAC is working on a proposal for the multiple flags that are being proposed and designs for different heritage months. Our IDEA committees with be part of this process but will bring information	*Julian/ Carrasquillo*

	back here. Puente trip to UCLA will be this weekend. Barnard and Julian are working on providing more training on community and student spaces and creating agreements. Lastly, **Julian** shared that the reading of the Land acknowledgment is not solely the responsibility of the LEAD/ NASSSP, the campus community should feel comfortable in reading it as it was created for the culture of the entire campus. **Gonzales** suggested for the LEAD office host a workshop to assist with the clarification and comfort level of the campus.	
2	*Grants & Initiatives Subcommittee (standing item) – Any updates/reports, if applicable.* **Lundburg** shared that the Grants & Initiative Subcommittee was previously created due to the nature of funds and grants that were received. It was for institutional grants and Title V that would need to be reviewed by the committee to ensure it was adhering to our college plans. The committee is ad hoc; therefore, they do not need to always meet. However, we can take it off the agenda as a standing item. Martinez will pull from the standing items.	*Battisti*
3	*Restorative Practices (standing item) – Any updates/reports, if applicable.* **Pecenco** is waiting for approval to move forward. **Lundburg** and **Battisti** both agreed to move forward with efforts. Also attending the first MAIN sessions and starting work on that project.	*Pecenco*
4	*Artificial Intelligence (AI) Workgroup – continued discussion on membership and charge* **Gomez** is hosting the second MAIN meeting tomorrow, the topic is ethics, all are encouraged to attend. Conversations are popping up more, and it appears City and Mesa have become more involved in the conversations.	*Lundburg/ Gomez*

A. **New Business:**

#	Item	Time Limit	Strategic Goal*	Accreditation Standard**	Initiator
1	n/a				

B. **Action Items: Julian** will put together resources in a collective piece with the President's office. President's Office will plan an additional Campus Forum in relation to Campus Culture. **Martinez** will remove the Grants & Initiatives Subcommittee from the meeting agenda.

C. Announcements – Gonzales shared Leadership, Student Safety, and Support Amid Immigration Enforcement Webinar workshop on Friday, 10/23 9-10am. Will email to Martinez. President's Office will send out to campus.

D. **Adjourn –** Meeting adjourned at 2:09pm.

E. **Next Scheduled Meeting:** *Tuesday, November 10, 2026, from 1:00 pm – 2:30 pm in Room K1-202/Zoom*

*San Diego Miramar College 2020 - 2027 Strategic Plan Goals

I: Pathways – Provide student-centered pathways that are responsive to change and focus on student learning, equity, and success **II:** Engagement-Enhance the college experience by providing student-centered programs, curriculum, services, and activities that close achievement gaps, engage students, and remove barriers to their success **III:** Organizational Health-Strengthen Institutional Effectiveness through planning, outcomes assessment, and program review processes in efforts to enhance data-informed decision-making **IV:** Relationship Cultivation - Build and sustain a college culture that strengthens participatory governance, equity efforts, and community partnerships **V:** Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI)-Build an environment that embraces diversity, equity, inclusion, Anti-Racism, and social justice for the benefit of the college community

* ACCJC Accreditation Standards (Adopted June 2024): I. Institutional Mission and Effectiveness. II. Student Success. III. Infrastructure and Resources. IV. Governance and Decision-Making.

***Mission Statement: San Diego Miramar College's mission is to prepare students to succeed by providing quality instruction and services in an environment that supports and promotes success, diversity, inclusion, and equity with innovative programs and partnerships to facilitate student completion for degrees/certificates, transfer, workforce training, and/or career advancement.