

Chairs Committee

Chair: Kevin Petti

Thursday, October 20, 2022, 1:00 pm – 2:00 pm

Room M-110

Members Present: Gene Choe, Martin Kennedy, Arancibia Adrian, Molly Fassler, Daniel Igou, Alex Mata, Jessica McCambly, Jae Calanog, Anne Gloag, Andrew Lowe, Mary Kjartanson, Jordan Omens, MaryAnn Guevarra, Mary Hart

Ex-Officio Advisors Present: Joseph Young, Kandice Brandt

Others: Darel Harrison proxy for Duane Short; Pablo Martin, Academic Senate President

Minutes

- A. Call to order 1:08
- B. Approval of Agenda – approved unanimously
- C. Approval of Minutes - approved unanimously
- D. Old Business

	Item	Strategic Goal	Accreditation Standard	Initiator
1	<p>Taskstream to Nuventive: Update and Discussion</p> <p>A very brief update around this topic occurred.</p>	3, 4	I, III, IV	Petti
2	<p>Program Review– to include Academic Senate Resolution:</p> <p>The Chairs had a frank and robust discussion, and the following themes emerged:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• The Chairs recognize this document is the result of good people doing good work with the best of intentions to produce a meaningful Program Review process.• The Chairs agree that Program Review is important, and like all processes should evolve over time, reflecting the changing landscape of higher education.• The Chairs understand they are responsible for and are not opposed to producing a cyclical Program Review of their respective areas. <p>The Chairs, however, have serious concerns about the Quality Program Review document under consideration by the Academic Senate. In essence the Chairs believe the new Quality Program Review document is unnecessarily complicated, overly detailed and lengthy, not likely to result in any meaningful enhancements to their programs, focused on higher level, esoteric metrics that are not relevant to their day-to-day work, an unwelcome addition to their workload, and despite the narrative otherwise, focused more on accreditation than it is concentrated on truly increasing the quality of our programs. Suffice it to say, the proposed new Quality Program Review document under consideration in the Academic Senate is essentially rejected in toto by the Miramar College Chairs Committee.</p> <p>The Committee Chair will send a memo to the Administration, to include comments sent by the Chairs summarizing the discussion, and offering</p>	3, 4	I, III, IV	Petti

	options for how to proceed.			

E. New Business

	Item	Strategic Goal	Accreditation Standard	Initiator
1	<p>Chair Workload Concern</p> <p>This topic was covered within the Program Review conversation</p>	3, 4	I, III, IV	Petti
2	<p>Best Practices?</p> <p>None – future items will be solicited soon.</p>	3, 4	I, III, IV	Petti
3	<p>Roundtable Discussion</p> <p>Mary K. - Credit for Prior Learning (CPLs), is occurring in her area, asked the Chairs if this is occurring in other areas, and if other Chairs wish for it to occur in their areas. Darrel H., said this is occurring in his area to some degree. MaryAnne G., commented as well, asked if it can be discussed in the Senate. General consensus is that this can be a good thing for certain students.</p> <p>Darrel H commented that the college needs to provide the capacity to make more of our classes hybrid, for all/more classrooms to offer the ability to offer hybrid courses more easily, in a Hyflex format. Ann G., commented about the background and challenges, such as the expense for such classroom upgrades.</p>	3, 4	I, III, IV	Petti

F. Announcements: None

G. Next Scheduled Meeting: November 17, 2022

H. Adjournment: 2:10 PM