

College Council Special Meeting Minutes
San Diego Miramar College
05/25/22 • [Zoom](#) • 4:00 pm – 4:30 pm

Members: *Wesley Lundburg (co-chair), Laura Murphy (co-chair), Brett Bell, Adrian Gonzales (left 4:17 pm), Michael Odu, Pablo Martin (arrived: 4:34 pm), Sean Young, Val Sacro, Sam Shoostary (no proxy), Dan Igou (proxy), Edward Borek, Mandie Hankinson (no proxy), and Emily Smith (no proxy)*

Alternates: *Daniel Miramontez, Kurt Hill, Jorge Morales (absent)*

Vacancies: *Administrators: None; Classified Senate: None; Academic Senate: Alternate; ASG: None*

Guests: *Linda Woods, Cheryl Barnard, Kimberly Telson*

- A. **Call to Order** – The meeting was called to order at 4:01 pm.
- B. **Approval of Special Agenda** – **Bell** made a motion to approve the 5-25-22 special meeting agenda. Seconded by **Odu**. There were 8 yay votes, 0 nay votes, and 0 abstentions. The motion carried.
- C. **Public Comment** – There was no public comment.
- D. **New Business:**

#	Item	Initiator
1	<p><i>Grants and Initiatives Subcommittee Recommendation for HSI Grant</i> – Bell reviewed the impact report and the committees’ recommendation: “The GISC recommends applying for this title 5 grant. Furthermore, the committee requests that outcomes be established and reviewed on a regular basis. The committee encourages the ongoing application and renewal of similar title 5 grants in an effort to continue this program’s ongoing funding. Lastly, the committee recommends a methodical plan to responsibly close the grant activities if outcomes are not met, success measures are not achieved, or if a successor title 5 grant is not awarded.”</p> <p>Hill clarified that restricted contacts don’t have to be converted. Bell replied that was correct, the position(s) would go away, which is a standard practice. The District is working on new classifications. Lundburg asked who would be reviewing the outcomes of this grant. Bell responded the assigned manager. Lundburg asked if the committee would evaluate but Bell responded no. Gonzales added that there are external evaluators. Murphy brought everyone’s attention to the position costs and explained that if the grant is not renewed, GISC is recommending that the program close. There was robust discussion about ending the program and how to close it out. Borek asked what activities are included in this grant for students. Woods responded that there are wrap around services built out of the STEM center. Discussion continued but the main concerns were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - If the program is successful, but we don’t have the funding, and we shut it down, then we are taking it away from the students. - After the five years, the college will need to support the program and positions and there is no way to guarantee that the District will help or that we won’t have to take money from other areas. <p>Martin made a motion to accept the GISC recommendation. Seconded by Hill. There were 7 yay votes, 0 nay votes, and 1 abstention. <u>The motion carried.</u> This will be place as an item on the next agenda to ensure discussion around the concerns continues.</p>	<i>Bell/Booth</i>

- E. **Adjourn** – The meeting adjourned at 5:00 pm.
- F. **Next Scheduled Meeting** – Tuesday, August 30th from 1:00 pm- 2:30 pm in L-108/Zoom.

This meeting was not recorded.