MIRAMAR COLLEGE

**FACILITIES COMMITTEE**

**April 08, 2021**

**1:00 p.m., Zoom**

**Present:** Allen Andersen, Brett Bell, Lonny Bosselman, Berta Cuaron, Adrian Gonzales, Laura Gonzalez, MaryAnn Guevarra, Rebecca Nipp, Alice Nelson, , Dan Willkie

**Absent:** Olga Fryszman, Darrell Rankin

**Guests:** Cheryl Barnard; Tanya Hertz, Vianey Huerta, Jesse Lopez, John Landicho, Francine McCorkell, Alice Nelson, Steve Nguyen

**Call to order-** at 1:00pm

1. **Approval of the Agenda** – MSC L. Gonzalez, unanimous
2. **Approval of Past Meeting Minutes** – March 5, 2020: MSC – A. Nelson, A. Gonzales, Unanimous

**Old Business**

1. **Facilities Master Plan – Consultant Selection Process** (B. Bell) **–**

**B. Bell**: Shared that this has been a process the entire district has been going through. Each campus and district are revising each of their master plan. Miramar is in need of a new master plan.

The District has gone out and requested consultants to bid for consultants. Miramar is at the process of finding consultants, at this time.

A group has been selected for the makeup at the committee. The group included: Four faculty, two classified, one student, and two management.

The District Facilities Management has put out a Request for Qualifications (RFQ). It was issued by Facilities Management.

All proposals were due February 2021.

This is standard format for a RFQ

There were a total of eight qualified firms that responded to the RFQ.

* Gensler
* HPI Architecture
* Johnson Favaro
* Moor Ruble Yudell
* Mosher-Drew Architects
* PBK WLC Alma
* Saffie Rbines Architects
* Steinberk Hart

The Governance Committee reviewed all 8 RFQs. They scored using the following qualifications:

* Staff Qualifications
* Firm Experience
* Overall Ability to Perform
* References
* Firm Approach
* Overall Responsiveness

Each firm came and gave presentations

A total of 3 firms were selected

The Governance Committee has recommended 1 firm to the Board of Trustees.

Once approved, by the Board, the firm will be offered a contract.

The next step will be the Facilities Committee will expand to do the work of Facility Master Planning.

The timeline to completion will be 9-10 months.

**L. Bosselman:** Questioned if the company is local and if the district will always be able to be in contact with them? Has anyone checked to see how the companies work was? Wanted to make sure they understand our needs.

**B. Bell**: Stated that they do have a local office. There will be a primary contact.

A sight visit was not done. They did provide lists and documents of work with local colleges. All had significant Community College experience.

The architect will not be in charge of building anything. They are in charge of where buildings will go

**B. Bell:** Recognized MaryAnn Guevarra and Dan Willkie for their participation in the process of selecting a Consultant

**New Business**

1. **REC Innovation Lab** (J. Lopez) **–**

**J. Lopez**: Discussed REC Innovation Lab

Shared that Hertz discussed how she helped him understand why it is important to have more labs on campus

**T. Hertz**: Discussed the purpose of REC Innovation Lab.

* It is a Business Incubator
* Early stages of a Businesses life
* Dedicated to Diversity, Equity, & inclusion
* Helping Founders
* Solve Problems by Launching High-growth Startups

Try to encourage students to start High Growth Companies

Who Uses the REC Innovation Lab?

* 160 students enrolled in the REC lab
* Over 660 students participated in the REC
* Career Technical Education

Update on former students

Stated the reason for the need for physical space

50% of training they do is hands on

In 2020 there were workshops reviewed over 5,000 times.

Expecting 4700 live attendance over 37,000 viewing

Many people are benefitting workshop. There have been over 130 workshops hosted

Targeting students

Types of startups were shared

They get students from all discipline. Mainly from Child Dev, Diesel, Auto and yoga

**J. Lopez**: Shared that how our Child Development have chosen the entrepreneurship path and living wages

**T. Hertz**: Shared some of the start-up companies that have started

Provide lots of resources to students. On average $66,000 per semester /Per student, they get train and gain programs and mentors from campus

Somethings are not able to do virtual

There are many REC partners and they are getting a lot of media attention.

Shared the Renovation project that they need help with

Showed a video of C Building and shared what type of renovations that they would like to do

**J. Lopez**: Shared why we are recommending renovations for REC LAB

**T. Hertz**: Asked anyone to reach out to her, if they have any questions about the program

**J. Lopez**: Asked if you or anyone would like to meet or have questions, let them know.

Informed everyone that they will also be taking this presentation to CEC.

Call to Action to please take this information to other constituents on campus.

**M. Guevarra:** Asked if the need for support for the lab or physical space?

**J. Lopez**: Would like to make sure prior to any physical renovation on campus, that they know about the REC and let them know.

Bringing it back that this is still a priority

**L. Bosselman:** Questioned what type of training will they be doing?

**T. Hertz**: There are a lot more than virtual reality. Explained the various physical activity and other types of labs, like video recording

**D. Willkie:** Questioned Bell, Lopez and Hertz on when they can get together of what to ask for the campus

**B. Bell:** Does not think Hertz and Lopez are here for an ask. It is a participatory governance issue. What we are doing here is to share the input and get different inputs from other departments. We will bring to CEC as an informative approach.

Shared how Hertz and Lopez are interested in building relationships across the campuses

**L. Gonazalez**: Shared that she was very excited and excited to see it grow.

Shared that in Facilities Committee, there is a ranking list that they have. Will this be eventually put on a list as a Facilities funding?

**B. Bell:** Stated that this is not an entire campus funding. Lopez and Hertz are not asking for funding, they have funding. They are asking for participation.

SCHOOL Of BTCWI is fully funded.

Cannot imagine that a Dean cannot and ask for funding on ideas and projects that they have.

From a campus wide, this will not be a conflict.

**Announcements**

1. Next Meeting

May 6, 2021, 1:00pm, Zoom

1. Adjourn at: 2:03pm.